Notes

Project plan:

Milestones: based on this the final version of both URS and Project plan was last week, why do we ask for feedback? We had more then was expected in a report, Project statements just covers the basics, risks are very nice and improvements were good. Deliverables, we should have specified why we need to deliver it, etc. Project phasing deliverables should match with deliverables. For example the interview with the client has to be also be in deliverables, cos we are going to deliver it. Milestones: it is kind of confusing.

URS:

Moscow: it should match(?) with the use cases. It has to be more specific with the functionality of the client, for example what functionality should be not don't cos we don't have time.

User requirements don’t match anymore. Specified if the user didn't specify where will the random simulation ill be done. Turning is 90 not 180, and it’s nice add to the document.

Use cases: 9: why is this one combined? If we look at real life pedestrian cross does it work with flaws, cos there is no queue. There is some logic of course in it like the pressing, etc.

Questions:

1. Design document: there should be: GUI design should be there, so it was up to us.

Minutes:

It should be revived in next meetings.